

REMARKS

1. Applicant thanks the Examiner for his findings, conclusions.
2. It should be appreciated that Applicant has elected to cancel Claims 1, 3-5, 8-25, 27, and 83-87 solely for the purpose of expediting the patent process in a manner consistent with the PTO's Patent Business Goals, 65 Fed. Reg. 54603 (9/8/00). In making such amendments, Applicant has not and does not in any way narrow the scope of protection to which the Applicant considers the invention herein entitled. Rather, Applicant reserves Applicant's right to pursue such protection at a later point in time and merely seeks to pursue protection for the subject matter presented in this submission.
3. The Applicant cancels Claims 1, 3-5, 8-25, 27, and 83-87 from the application.
4. Claims 85 and 86 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement.

In view of the above described cancellation of Claims 85 and 86, the current rejection of Claims 85 and 86 under 35 U.S.C. § 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement, is rendered moot.

5. Claims 1, 4, 5, 8-15, and 21-23 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Williams in view of U.S. patent no. 6,173,266 (hereinafter "Marx").

In view of the above described cancellation of Claims 1, 4, 5, 8-15, and 21-23, the current rejection of Claims 1, 4, 5, 8-15, and 21-23 under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Williams in view of Marx is rendered moot.

6. Claims 3, 16, 18-20, 24, 25, 83, 84, and 86 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Williams in view of Marx in further view of U.S. patent no. 6,822,585 (hereinafter "Ni").

In view of the above described cancellation of Claims 3, 16, 18-20, 24, 25, 83, 84, and 86, the current rejection of Claims 3, 16, 18-20, 24, 25, 83, 84, and 86 under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Williams in view of Marx in further view of Ni is rendered moot.

7. Claim 17 stands rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Williams in view of Marx in further view of U.S. patent application publication no. US 2003/0017858 A1 (hereinafter "Kraft").

In view of the above described cancellation of Claim 17, the current rejection of Claim 17 under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Williams in view of Ni in further view of Kraft is rendered moot.

8. Claim 85 stands rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Williams in view of Marx in further view of U.S. patent no. 6,073,146 (hereinafter "Chen") and in still further view of U.S. patent no. 6,848,080 (hereinafter "Lee").

In view of the above described cancellation of Claim 85, the current rejection of Claim 85 under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Williams in view of Marx in further view of Chen and in still further view of Lee is rendered moot.

9. Claim 27 stands rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Williams in view of Marx in further view of U.S. patent no. 5,197,810 (hereinafter "Zhang").

In view of the above described cancellation of Claim 27, the current rejection of Claim 27 under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Williams in view of Marx in further view of Zhang is rendered moot.

10. Claim 87 stands rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Williams in view of Marx in further view of U.S. patent publication no. US 2007/0106492 (hereinafter "Kim").

In view of the above described cancellation of Claim 87, the current rejection of Claim 87 under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Williams in view of Ni in further view of Kim is rendered moot.

CONCLUSION

In view of the foregoing, the Application is deemed to be in allowable condition. As such, the Examiner is earnestly requested to withdraw all rejections, allowing the Application to pass to issue as a U.S. patent. Should the Examiner have any questions regarding the Application, the Examiner is respectfully urged to contact the Applicant's attorney at (650) 474-8400.

Respectfully submitted,

A handwritten signature in cursive script, appearing to read 'Elizabeth Ruzich'.

Elizabeth Ruzich

Reg. No. 54,416

Customer No. 22862